

PUBLICATION ETHICS

The scientific professional edition Ukrainian Antarctic Journal (hereinafter the Journal) has developed and adopted these rules in accordance with the Code of Conduct for Journal Publishers developed by the Committee on Publication Ethics (hereinafter COPE) and guided by the rules of the Civil Code of Ukraine and the Law of Ukraine “On Copyright and Related Rights”.

1. ETHICAL OBLIGATIONS OF THE EDITORIAL BOARD

Decision on publication

The editorial board is responsible for the proper submission of scientific materials at the discretion of the scientific community, as well as reviews the submitted materials for plagiarism using verification systems.

All materials, after preliminary evaluation by the editor, undergo an independent anonymous double-blind review. The Editorial Board of the Journal ensures the confidentiality and impartiality of the review process.

The Editorial Board of the Journal undertakes to provide an author with a reviewer, who in a timely and impartial manner carries out the duties of reviewing the article, is not financially interested in research and does not represent the interests of a competing organization.

The editorial board of the Journal does not influence the decisions of the reviewers.

If there is a positive and a negative review of the manuscript, the Responsible Editor appoints an additional Reviewer. Negative feedback is considered by the Editorial Board, which makes the final decision. If the manuscript is rejected, the Editorial Board reserves the right not to enter into a discussion with the authors.

The Editorial Board reserves the right to refuse, after preliminary consideration, the submission of materials for review in case of incorrect manuscript design, inconsistency with the basic scientific criteria, the Journal topics, plagiarism, re-publication, etc.

Impartiality

The Editorial Board of the Journal evaluates the materials in terms of content and scientific value, regardless of age, race, gender, sexual orientation, religious and political views, origin, and nationality of the Author.

Confidentiality

The Editorial Board of the Journal limits the confidentiality of the publication process to: Author, Reviewers, members of the Editorial Board, Publisher, and, if necessary, Research Advisors.

The Editorial Board of the Journal guarantees the protection of unpublished data received from the Author in the course of preparation for publication.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure Policy

The Responsible Editor of the Journal recuses himself from the review of materials in case of conflict of interests as a result of competition, collaboration and other relations with the Author and organizations related to the materials.

The journal publishes information on financial support for research.

Publication Supervision

If the Responsible Editor finds strong evidence of the fallacy of the conclusions provided in the work, plagiarism, falsification and fabrication of data, he informs the Author and the Publisher to make a decision on publication of corrections, clarification and apology on the website, if necessary.

Research Engagement and Collaboration

The Editorial Board of the Journal publishes in the public domain on the website the current Rules for Authors and Reviewers.

The Editorial Board of the Journal guarantees open access to publications for the Authors.

The content of each issue is discussed and approved at a meeting of the Editorial Board of the Journal.

The Editorial Board and the Publisher take appropriate measures to resolve the conflict when they receive a complaint or claim on ethical violations regarding the submitted manuscript or the Journal article. Each report of unethical conduct must be investigated, regardless of the time when the reported violation could have been committed. In case of confirmation of information on violation of ethical principles, the Editorial Board and the Publisher publish corrections, refutation or apologies.

In case of disputed issues, the Editorial Board of the Journal acts in accordance with the COPE Guidelines on Issues of Public Ethics

Violations: <http://publicationethics.org> https://publicationethics.org/resources/flowcharts?f%5B0%5D=im_field_classifications%3A2774

2.ETHICAL OBLIGATIONS OF REVIEWERS

Reviewing the materials helps the Editorial Board make the decision to publish the article, and helps the authors improve their work.

Responsibility

If it is not possible to review materials because of lack of qualifications or time, the Reviewer is obliged to inform the Editorial Board about this and shred or return the received materials.

Confidentiality

The reviewer has no right to discuss the materials received for review and the data contained therein, to share them with colleagues and use in his own interests. If there is need in consultations with colleagues, the Reviewer should first agree on this issue with the Responsible Editor.

Manuscript Requirements and Objectivity

The Reviewer provides an objective assessment of the submitted materials, their compliance to the journal profile, novelty, relevance, evaluates the credibility, reliability and quality of conclusions and data. Personal criticism of submitted materials is inadmissible. The conclusions of the Reviewer should be well-grounded and provide references to authoritative sources.

Recognition of Primary Sources

The Reviewer should evaluate the correctness of citation and the availability of properly cited bibliographic references, indicate relevant published works that have not been referenced in the work. In case of coincidence with other works and studies, revealing of falsification, plagiarism, the Reviewer should report this fact to the Responsible Editor.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure Policy

The Reviewer should not be involved in peer review if he or she is in close personal or professional relationships with the author or co-authors of the article, research organization where the research was conducted or works in a competing organization on the same topic. The Reviewer should inform the Responsible Editor of other possible conflicts of interest (political, religious or financial) and seek the advice of the Editorial Board of the Journal if there is any doubt about the matter.

The information and ideas of the manuscript should not be used for personal gain.

Impartiality

The Reviewer evaluates the manuscript in terms of content and scientific value, regardless of age, race, gender, sexual orientation, religious and political views, origin, and nationality of the Author.

3. ETHICAL OBLIGATION OF AUTHORS

The authors of the manuscript provide reliable scientific data and objective conclusions. The manuscript should contain enough details and bibliographic references for possible reproduction of research results.

Data access and storage

The Editorial Board has the right to request from the Author primary research data that are relevant to the manuscript for their subsequent submission to the Reviewer. Authors are required to keep them for a certain period after publication.

Originality and plagiarism

Authors use original research data in the manuscript; if bibliographic data or other authors' statements are used, appropriate bibliographic references or citations should be provided.

Reproduction of part of other publications, plagiarism, self-plagiarism, falsification and fabrication of data are unacceptable in the article.

Authors may submit materials only in one journal. Submission of two or more publications simultaneously or submission of a previously published article is an inadmissible violation of publication ethics leading to rejection of the manuscript.

Authorship of Publication

Authors of the work are the persons who have made a significant contribution to the research and preparation of materials for publication.

Authors should make sure that all participants involved in the preparation of the materials indicated as co-authors agree with the order in the list of co-authors and the final version of the submitted materials.

Risks, as well as people and animals that are the objects of research

The authors provide information on the possible risks when conducting research. It should be indicated that experiments on animal are carried out in compliance with the requirements of current legislation and the Bioethics Commissions.

Conflict of Interest

The authors indicate in the materials submitted that there are financial or other conflicts of interest that may affect the results or conclusions presented in the manuscript and its evaluation. It is necessary to submit information on financial support.

Errors in manuscripts

Authors are obliged to report to the Responsible Editor about errors or inaccuracies in the manuscript, if any, and to facilitate their correction or revocation of the manuscript.

If the Responsible Editor receives information about errors in the publication from a third party, the author is obliged to take part in resolving the contentious situation.

Authors obligation concerning the peer review process

Authors should participate in the peer review process